

Love is God

M.Sci. Dmitri Martila,
Tartu University,
amidalitram@mail.ru

Is known, that "God is Love". And the Bible indirectly says, that Spirit of Love is God, because "God is Spirit." We are making good, only if we have good mood and good spirit: "But He turned, and rebuked them, and said, Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of." Luke 9:55, "for without me ye can do nothing" John 15:5, "Whoever is not with me is against me, and whoever does not gather with me scatters." Matthew 12:30. We feel Love, but the feeling of Love is not Love Himself. Like the feeling of touching table is not the table. Love is holy unity with one you love: you are he, and he is you: two persons in united being called "couple". And even more than one couple, it is the united humankind, the bride of God: "That they all may be one; as thou, Father, art in Me, and I in thee, that they also may be one in Us: that the world may believe that Thou hast sent Me." John 17:21.

Keywords: Love, Love at first sight, ethics, morality, science, solipsism.

If Love is not God, then does God learned from someone how to Love? No. He is the Love Himself. God is Spirit, the Spirit of Love. Not a rose, which you gave to your girlfriend last Christmas, but the eternal (and, thus, omnipresent) and indestructible Good Spirit of that action. Love is the essence of God, because Love is the Holy Name of God! The expression "name of God" is all that comes from God; for example, Jesus is translated as the Savior. The Love is not created, thus it is God.

Now I address the main objections:

An infantile reader says to these impressive results: "so what?" To which I reply: "What is the meaning of your question? You ask why we need to communicate on different topics?"

Opponent: Nothing is clear yet! And your English is very poor and bad! I follow the law of political-correctness and respect, which is "If you have not understood what I have posted the fault is probably mine. Ask for clarification. I expect the same courtesy from you." At the moment you are being discourteous. You are requesting we "invest time and love". Why should we do that if you will not make the effort to help us understand your point? You need to offer something in return. That something is a willingness to accept that your writing is a problem and to take the time to restate your point when members say they have not understood.

Because the social law is "nobody is wrong until proven wrong" a reader can not blame the author for lack of clarity (the author is one man, but the readers are many

many hundreds, and among them are mentally disabled if not the trolling liars). The reader can find violation of Aristotle three laws of logic in any hazy text, and report it to the author. Just a feeling of confusion is not the argument to make the author unhappy (by not giving him respect for his writing effort). You are a bit saying not the truth. If you go deep into your heart, then you will discover the problem of yours: "too many words, I have not read", and "This is an hard saying; who can hear it?" John 6:60. So, take your time and read slowly, ask for clearance from me by email: I need a pen pal.

Opponent: "You are making a lot of claims I don't think you can justify with evidence. How can you demonstrate that love is anything other than an emotion?"

1. It is not a problem to prove something to a theists, even if the proof relies on the Holy Scriptures. Why? Because any knowledge (e.g., $2+2=4$) of any human is defined by me as the knowledge, which his God has. God knows, that God exists, so the God is proven for theists.

2. It is much more complicated, when I try to prove something to unbelievers, because I define faith (the Wikipedia agrees with peer-review references) as faithful-ness to Knowledge. As example, if you know, that you must not smoke, then you do not smoke entire life, and moreover, you are teaching others to stop smoking with YouTube videos. You are sincere person then. But you are a different one, you do not believe in anything, including the validity of General Relativity: "I know, that I know Nothing." But Jesus has hope even for you, because He knocks the door of you heart: "And the disciples came, and said unto him, Why speakest thou unto them in parables? He answered and said unto them, Because it is given unto you to know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not given." Matthew 13:10-11.

So, I will speak on your level of understanding now, because "Neither do people pour new wine into old wineskins. If they do, the skins will burst; the wine will run out and the wineskins will be ruined. No, they pour new wine into new wineskins, and both are preserved" Matthew 9:17:

A. Scientific methodology is false, because it presses a scientist to consider anything, which is yet unknown, to be out of scope of scientific endeavor: "a thing does not exist, if the thing is not known." It is the fallacy called "scientism". The lacking belief people do like to dissect the United (by Theologians of the Past, e.g., Dr. Thomas Aquinas) Reality into smallest pieces as if they were using razors, look up "Hitchens's razor" invented by the unbeliever Hitchens.

B. The Science can, if it would correct the wrong methodology, study the totally unknown things simply by adding to a known thing word "No", "Not". Look: people seem to know, that "Love is emotion", then please add No, so "Love is Not emotion." And then derive the own brand new theory, which in a (distant) future

could lead to practical tests. The Holy Angel Lucifer was also science-minded: he added No to "God exists" and derived own worldview. His mistake was to devote own entire life to that, and proponent-ing of that by secular TV.

C. But now I advise the satanists (just out of their scientific curiosity) to remove the satan's word "No", namely: atheists, can you imagine that God exists? What then does He think of you? "Smart, good people. I wish there were those in Paradise!" Is it really so? "It is so easy! If you live without your dream." (from a song by Vintage). Is it easy for you, those who have lost their dream of eternal life?

Opponent: "Why should I care to follow your advice?"

Out of scientific curiosity. Saint Angel Lucifer, out of scientific curiosity, invented a world in which there is "no God". And went crazy, he became satan with his mentally guided slaves, i.e., atheists. Now I advise the atheists out of their scientific curiosity to come up with the world in which there is Real God. Not the "flying spaghetti monster" of "Russel's teapot" (everyone knows that such man-made idols are not a real God), but the real God.

Opponent: "Simply adding the word "not" before the word "love" does not change what love is (an emotion). If I take the phrase "my bank account does not have a million dollars in it" and remove the word "not", does my account now contain a million dollars? No!!!"

In some universe of the Multiverse - Yes, someone exactly like you has million dollars. Think about him, derive his life then. What would you do with million USD? To sponsor Churches, hospitals, universities? Apply to the sentences "Love is emotion", and "No God there" the method of science called "scientific doubt." After all, unbelievers say "I know, that I know nothing", and therefore: "science always can be refuted and be false ("falsified" in Popper criterion)".

Opponent: I have any rights, I want; even the right to be crazy, look up bills "freedom of speech" and "freedom of religion".

Crazy you say? This paper is for you then. It is the attempt to heal the old schizophrenic separation between the heart (faith) and mind (Science). What a better world will it be without conflict between mind and heart! **Rights you say?!** Everyone has the right to choose. But not the wrong choice! The God of the Bible has damned those who chose sin! Freedom is defined as an action within God's laws, it is "the perfect law of liberty" James 1:25. That is why even ordinary criminals are not free, but instead sit in prisons. You might ask: "If faith is the truth, why are there so many faiths out there, even within individual religions?" Because Paradise is Lost. However, God has single faith and single Knowledge within his mind. "Do you think I came to bring peace on earth? No, I tell you, but division." Luke 12:51.

Here I have hot discussions against atheism. But why, when I pinned the atheist into a corner, he becomes total silent or changes the topic? No matter how it sounds, but the answer is obvious: there is no atheist and there was no such one from the very beginning of the discussion. This was just a whisper of the wind: "What did you go out into the wilderness to see? A reed swayed by the wind?" Matthew 11:7.

Opponent: "Science is there to test and be tested. That's the whole point of it. To repeatedly test to either validate or discard hypotheses."

Not in theoretical physics, and not in Evolutionary Biology.

Opponent: "How much work have you done in physics?"

Fallacy of Authority is detected! Stop him!

Opponent: "science deals with unknown, because science made tremendous progress."

But not, if unknown is Dark Matter, Big Bang or how divine wonders and Angels are made. Science does not consist of planets and acorns, but of people, and people often lie. Does science often lie? Science is a serious thing?! You can seriously lie if you do not like the Absolute Truth. The science can not be serious endeavour, because is not correcting for good own mistakes and deception: Hawking Radiation temperature in two abstracts [1] is different. But it must be the same, because this is the achievement of his life. Did the world go further with the true or false of them?

Another example: all scientists used (and are still using: [3]) the dust collapse solution for almost a century, but it turned out to be very wrong [2].

[1] Hawking SW. Particle Creation by Black Holes. *Comm Math Phys.* 1975;43:199-220. Hawking SW. Black hole explosions? *Nature.* 1974;248:30-1.

[2] Trevor W Marshall, Max K Wallis. *Journal of Cosmology.* 2010;6:1473-1484. Available from: <http://journalofcosmology.com/MarshallWallis.pdf>

[3] Laura Mersini-Houghton, Backreaction of Hawking Radiation on a Gravitationally Collapsing Star I: Black Holes?, *Phys. Lett. B* 2014;738:61-67.

Opponent: "Science deals with the natural world"

Science in my definition is not the area of study, not the used methods, but simply the quest for Knowledge. And stop using "scientific skepticism" on me. It is just a "legalized" trolling: "When Jesus went outside, the Pharisees and the teachers of the law began to oppose Him fiercely and to besiege Him with questions, waiting to catch Him in something He might say." Luke 11:53-54. You would not use it on Dr. Steven Hawking! Why then to use it on me? Because you are making Fallacy of Authority! Please use the scientific trust (see about the new method in the current paper).

Some Points of the Paper:

Why do you say that "God dislikes proofs" when the Eastern Orthodox Christians shout in the temple: "Christ is Risen!"? This is the shortest proof of Christ and His Church. The grave is empty! The God of the Bible loves the proof-making: "He presented himself to them and gave many convincing proofs that He was alive." Acts 1:3.

God is evil?! Not! Divine Love protects His saints from Godless idiots. Why? They are the Absolute Nothing in His eyes: God of Love does not Love satan, He is angry at satan and all of his followers. "The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God." Psalm 14:1, Anthony DeStefano: March 20, 2018 Fox News: "Today's atheists are bullies - and they are doing their best to intimidate the rest of us into silence", "Inside The Atheist Mind", <https://youtu.be/APb1GMHix-I>

God does not have a Goddess. So, is God lacking something beautiful? No, God is Love, and (your) Love to your friends is of the same essence as Love to your wife. Because the pagan-minded Greeks, who divided Love into separate kinds are dead wrong.

Then, the sympathy and the readiness to help a stranger on a street is in fact the non-realized by mind the divine in essence the "Love at first sight". The Presumption of Innocence can be read from this Bible verse: "If anyone, then, knows the good they ought to do and doesn't do it, it is sin for them." James 4:17. Thus, the indifference with ignorance (which is common problem at first date with a woman) is from idol of evil – the satan.

Addressing the points:

While feeling the True Love, we are feeling the touch of the God of Love. Love is the holy (God of the Bible approved) unity with loved one. The unity means, what True Love is always mutual: "I love those who love me, and those who seek me find me." Proverbs 8:17. And the commandment to love your personal enemy is in reality the Love to your God and all His plans. If love is not (mutually) shared, then it is not love, but the sick lust and painful addiction.

There is Love, and there are actions of Love, as example, because God loves His saints, He is angry at evil; because God wants best for His saints, He has created all Reality with its spiritual and physical constitutions ("Is it about oxen that God is concerned? Surely he says this for us, doesn't he?" 1 Corinthians 9:9-10). The Love to sinners is in fact the love to saints, because God wants to repent sinners to make from them the friends to saints. Yes, there were no saints after Adam's sin and prior to Jesus'es heroic act, but God is omnipresent, so by the prayer of all saints in all

history of the world, He has repented the sinners. "With the prayers of all God's people, on the golden altar in front of the throne." Revelation 8:3.

I define love as the unity of two. Couple is one being. Holy Double. But you need to set priorities in order to learn how to love: "if your mother and your uncle are drowning, then save your mother first." Earth is a school of Love.

It looks like the school is closing now: "Brother will betray brother to death, and a father his child; children will rebel against their parents and have them put to death." Matthew 10:21. All the children have already risen, judging by how they command the adults. But so far only a few have led the rebellion to murder. Also, women are rebelling against the power of men (look up Feminism). Also, men are rebelling against women (look up MGTOW). And the people are rebelling against scientists (the Flat Earth Movement). The video of the woman DeAnna Lorraine, who teaches us how to truly love the men has 95000 views, but any single blaspheme song of "Lady" Gaga has 2 billion views. So, forget it. You can not save the world, just a couple people, perhaps.

Why? Because there is theorem of degradation: if the vector of degradation was not realized when there was more mind, then it will not be realized ever. It is the reason why the Holy Angel Lucifer has lost own existence becoming satan: angel has been imagining in scientific quest the world without God, and has burned out (by trying to give sense to this absurd) own head. That is why it is dangerous for believers to watch the secular TV.

Thus, without God's miracles the hatred in all areas can only grow. It is the social entropy law. The revolution is such power, that if it has started (in France, and in garden of Eden), it can not be stopped until the total disintegration of all vivid systems. First there was the Church, then the Protestantism, and then the Science with its Darwinism and false Methodology (latter is in negative mod "science is refutable" instead of progressive way "science is confirmable"), and then the Atheism, and then the Solipsism, and then the current epidemy of stupidity, murder and Suicide: "But those who fail to find Me harm themselves; all who hate Me love death." Proverbs 8:36. It is the chain of degradation. Yes, in the highly scientific places (recall the Bible verse about "wickedness in high places") we have already passed the Absolute Solipsism:

"New findings have physicists questioning reality", 2017 A.C.

https://youtu.be/jrXGfK5I_rU

"You are a Simulation & Physics Can Prove It:

George Smoot, TED talks", 2014 A.C. <https://youtu.be/Chfoo9NBEow>

"Why the world does not exist. Markus Gabriel, TED talks", 2013 A.C.

https://youtu.be/hzvesGB_TI0

The first date without mutual love is a false date, an illusion. Illusion does not exist.

Thus, we have an argument before God, that we can be considered like a virgins.

What you heard about love at first sight? Ringo Starr said once he was "certain that it happens all the time." In the Bible God tells us to love even personal enemies.

Enemy has not deserved our love, as has not deserved the love a stranger on the street. Thus, if we are commanded to love an enemy, then we must love more than enemy the stranger on a street; thus, at first sight. Spirit of Love is God, thus, Love can not be deserved ever. Love can be lost (because devil has lost own existence, thus we do not love devil), but "Love comes first": "Belinda Carlisle - Heaven Is A Place On Earth"

<https://youtu.be/P-WP6POdTgY>

Woman: "his kindness & thoughtfulness won me over so two months after first date, when he asked me to marry him, I said yes"

He was not repelling from the moment you met him. You loved Him as you would love any human, just because we are all of the same blood: Adam+Eve=Love. Greeks are wrong, they have divided love. To "win you" was meant to convince you to have family with him, it did not mean to win your love.

The pagan-minded Greeks divided Love into many kinds (and recall how godless science speculates in Wikipedia, that Mitochondrial Eve and Y-Chromosomal Adam have not sex with each other: the divorce in action!). No, there is only one Love, because He is the Undivided Holy Trinity. My love to 25 year old man is the same as to 90 year old man, however I can not enjoy making sport with 90 year old man. As well the love to wife and to uncle is the same as the love to Jesus, however due to biological and divine laws, I can make sex only with wife. Yes, Love in relation to people should not be blind, but with reasoning (if your mother and some girl are drowning, then save your mother first; and put God and His Church first), but Love is always there, and Love is always the same. Can't you give your heart at once to a pen pal and the fiancé? Can you love two people at once? Jesus could, if He would be you.

Woman: "I love you in the sense of desiring God's best for you and not wishing you to come to harm. But I'm not willing to suffer on your behalf or lay down my life. That is a different measure of love. The latter is agape. What you are describing is phileo and storge."

Even God can put priorities while loving us. It is wise to think before to lay down own life for a (drunk) stranger: ask yourself, will your husband survive lost of you? But that does not mean, that your Love is not perfect. Good people are having perfect love: if they can not help a human, they pray for him.

Opponent: "When I met my husband, I thought he was cute, funny, smart, snappy dresser. Very superficial. Over the years, as we both dug below the surface, we found

the love."

If you go deep into your heart, you will discover, that there was the love at first sight: "cute, funny, smart". The idol of hatred (the satan) hates such sincere compliments.

"Belinda Carlisle - Circle in the sand"

<https://youtu.be/uZ9NDRYguoA>

I like to define things and concepts, because Reality is based on definitions. The friendship between a guy and a girl is the real Love; Love, but before marriage. You agree? People are longing to the love at first sight: "Little Big Town - Happy People"

<https://youtu.be/DDcJiamY9N8>

These three social issues the Love at First Sight fixes:

1. Women will be less violent to children (including fetus and infant), if women get used to God's Truth, that love comes first. As example, any true Christian loves a stranger on a street at first sight [even without talking to him] not so much by feelings, but more by readiness to help: the Christian is ready to call any time the ambulance or police: "All About Helpfulness (song for kids about helping others)"
<https://youtu.be/2JuaPK4ReHA>

I do not understand why mature Christian like Fr. Jesus Christ needs to grow in love with me? An atheist must repent and start to love, that is simplest thing to do: "So in everything, do to others what you would have them do to you, for this sums up the Law and the Prophets." Matthew 7:12.

2. And the divorce problem will be solved by ending the "Battle of the Sexes". If the lady did not love you at first sight, then she was your enemy at first sight. And then beware of abortion, or betrayal, or divorce, or suicide, or murder, or a psychiatric hospital, because "never trust an enemy; his wickedness is as destructive as rust. Watch out, and be on guard against him, even if he acts ever so humble. He is like a metal mirror that rusts away if you don't keep it polished. Seat an enemy at your right hand, and the next thing you know he'll be trying to get your own place of honor."
Sirach 12:10-18 GNT.

3. Out of sick curiosity (the drive is similar to smoking) women are making scientific tests (known as "sht-tests") on their husbands, but that makes such women not genuine and not reliable, like the satan is. Husband Adam has failed the woman test, why todays guys are passing them? Adam was not familiar with scientific testing.

But almost everybody is more or less mentally sick, because "And we know that we are of God, and the whole world lieth in wickedness." 1 John 5:19, "Strive to enter in at the strait gate: for many, I say unto you, will seek to enter in, and shall not be able." Luke 13:24, "Verily saved ones are like a drop against a wave" 3 Ezra 9:15.

In my working place I am a scientist with expertise. The current method of science "scientific skepticism" is not true to Love. It is not Love to authors, who submit research papers to journals, at all. The method of Love is in fact the "scientific trust", and it is always applied to the chosen, prominent authors like Stephen Hawking: all what Stephen says will be published for sure. The beginners in science need to publish under authorship with prominent supervisor, otherwise they will be subjected to severe scientific skepticism.

The unhealthy addiction to scientific skepticism pushes the reader to see a lot of mistakes, inconsistencies, and dubious places in the paper. So now please read text with a strong desire to confirm it: be more like a friend, not an alien referee. If the author says, that he has written a proof, then a polite human considers it as proof. Did not the reader hear about the human factor -- wishful thinking? Latter is the cause of papers questioning global warming.

Try to love the author like "Good Samaritan" does to a stranger and look not for refutations (if desired, everything can be refuted through over-pushed imagination, trivial trolling, lies and daily discrimination), but look to confirm the manuscript. However, the globally overused method "scientific skepticism" pushes an author to earn tons of Gold and Platinum to convince editors in his sanity: that the newest open access (with astronomical article processing fees) journals are for.

The reader thinks that we must keep the old system and methods even if they are not entirely healthy for authors because we have no alternatives. No. The method of "scientific trust" is this:

1. While reading the manuscript, a referee felt that a place in the article is doubtful.
2. The referee tries to justify his unpleasant feeling with logic.
3. Having substantiated it with logic, the referee looks for the reasons why the author may still be right within the arguments of the paper: so, e.g., the author is not forced to write appeal like "the referee forgot the text at Eq.(2)!"
4. If there are no such reasons for all referee's desire to serve the author's interests, then reject the article.

You can always find a violation of the Logic of Aristotle (all three laws must be not violated! Look up the details of all three laws!) in any hazy text. In any. No one found that in my text. And add the 4-th law: law of sufficient reason, it is a principle in logic: for everything that is there is a reason why it should be as it is rather than otherwise. Opponent: "it is not easy to read your paper." Invest more time and love then: reader, wo loves me - reads slowly. And, if the reader disagrees with an author, then he tries to justify the author's opinion, not to argue right away over each sentence: it is the method of Love called "scientific trust".

To prove and to convince are different verbs: if a human is in self-deception, he can not be convinced by a valid proof. That is why they like to say a major blasphemy, that God of Proofs is not proved and can not be proven. An idol can not be proven, because between Good and evil can not be common word "non-proven". Because Satan can say only lies, then by saying, that he knows God, he is telling the lie: "Father, forgive them, for they do not know what they are doing." Luke 23:34. It is because source of information must be trustful (it means, rooted in God); otherwise, it is not information, but emptiness. Nothing is in common between Good and evil: the Satan does not know God.

Anonymous Scientist: "Scientific journals consider only articles accompanied by an act of examination by the expert-office and recommendations of 1-2 scientists in this field of science."

But: my articles were checked by Dr. S.G., who did not find mistakes for the whole year, but was afraid to give a letter of recommendation and forbade me to mention anywhere that he read my articles. It is more likely that the Lord will give you a little suitcase with a million dollars directly from Heaven, than scientists will give you the Nobel Prize or accept your paper. No problem for someone who has not dropped out of the system. The problems are of the one, who was kicked out for talking about God. Such a teacher and researcher at the University of Tartu (who has several articles co-authored with the Supervisor in the Physical Review E), becomes a "street man." And an unofficial rule is applied to such pleb-people: "provide letters of recommendation and the conclusion of an expert commission for each of your submission."

God is Light and there is no evil in Him. Why? Because God is not demon possessed: "I am not possessed by a demon," said Jesus, "but I honor my Father and you dishonor me." John 8:49. God is perfect. God is unchangeable. The Russian Orthodox Church knows this. If there is an admixture of Good and evil in something or someone, then he is all evil. Because semi-good is evil.

By the way, I am failing to meet a willing and soft woman. Perhaps I need also "act of examination by the expert-office (including the health exam) and recommendations of 1-2 women"? My woman does not understand science, I am looking for pen pal. I can love all people, because I am believer in Love.

Woman: "Well done, that you love everyone. This is another love - love for people."

That is how the Greeks attempted to explain to us Love, but they had pagan (and not perfectly cleaned by God) vision. They did it by the principle of "divide and conquer (rule)" and from this all the family and social troubles went. They sad to conquer woman, so the woman is the enemy of man at first sight, the God's words in Genesis 3:16 "Your desire will be for your husband, and he will rule over you" is not the commandment, but the sad constatation of the start of the Battle of Sexes. At least

accept this: God is Love and Love is God. God cannot be divided into kinds, nor can Love be divided into kinds. So, the Greeks have sinned terribly. Love is defined as unity with the one you love: you are he, and he is you, in the hands of Lord. One creature (called "couple") in two persons. It is like the Holy Trinity. Yes, the Love includes all positive things, including the anger, that is why there are war heroes, who has defeated Hitler and saved us from "angel of death" - the satan. God is angry against lawlessness, but satan hates the law. Here is the difference between the words "hatred" and "righteous anger": bad ones hate good, good ones are angry against evil things. Even words of evil ones and good ones are different, because there is nothing in common between God and satan.

Woman: "according to studies of Dr.Freud any pen pal needs just good regular sex."

Between me and her is a billion kilometers, both physically and spiritually. What, should I sell everything, break all my ties with my family, and ensure her sex desires? I just want to talk to her. Including my ideas. Many of my unpublished ideas are in the fields of Theology and Philosophy:

"I am the good shepherd; I know my sheep and my sheep know me." John 10:14. Do you know (I am talking not to atheists) what God exists? Yes? Really? How can you know anything without scientific proof? Do you know? Really? Then God is proved and do not lie, that is not proved.

Opponent: "There is no need to prove God."

Because He is proven a hundred times and is obvious. Faith is Faithfull-ness to Knowledge. Here the atheist satan neither knows God, nor believes in Him. Therefore, he is also an unbeliever. "You belong to your father, the devil, and you want to carry out your father's desires. He was a murderer from the beginning, not holding to the truth, for there is no truth in him. When he lies, he speaks his native language, for he is a liar and the father of lies." John 8:44. "The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God." Psalm 14:1, therefore, to be a believer, you need to have not only "faith", but also the mind.

Opponent: "Thou believest that there is one God; thou doest well: the devils also believe, and tremble." James 2:19. So, the devils are the strongest believers among all us (us, who believe)!

They are unbelievers, because there is nothing in common between Good and evil (even words and notions are different, e.g., God's is wonder and miracle, but devil's is magic and sorcery). The Bible Must Be interpreted by blessed by Church people, because: "the letter kills" 2 Corinthians 3:6. Indeed, the "dead faith" of devil is not the True Faith: "faith without works is dead" James 2:20.

The aim of this article is to fix atheists (they are willingly disabled; they have cut off

not a limb, but the Religion with its Truth and Love), and to improve (if it is possible) the theism of theists. Two questions that say everything about a person: How many friends do you have? Is there love at first sight? Here I have a scientific article that love always happens at first sight, although couples don't perceive it that way.

"And they were both naked, the man and his wife, and were not ashamed." Genesis 2:25, and the notion of shame is now passing away, especially in humor programs on TV and in general, girls are drawn to wear revealing clothes and excite their Internet fans with another sex scandal or naked chest on the beach. How is holy shamelessness then (in Genesis) different from satanic shamelessness now? To my opinion, the aim is different, the context is different: then was the uniting love, now is the dividing Battle of Sexes: by looking at naked girl, which you are not allowed to touch by your body or your soul, you get physical and psychological illnesses. Now in so many families the husband must every time win own wife over by defeating evil will of own wife with presents, as if she were Hitler, to get measured portion of cold love and simulated orgasm (and that in best case scenario, many wives do not find it necessary to perform simulation).

As the Sun should shine, and the swallow fly, so at all times on Earth there is a woman who LOVES men and for her sake the whole universe exists.

- The universe exists because you are in it.
- prove me that.
- When you feel sad, it rains.
- Many people are sad when it rains.
- It's raining because you're sad, baby. (dialogue from the movie Men in Black 2)

You might ask: "why women stick to gays and moral freaks? Just like flies on a rotten meat!" I figured out women. They are like flies. Flies are also from the God of Health. And the "natural" theory, that a bandit can easily make many children with woman, is wrong, because God does not create evil and does not put mistakes in nature. It seems like the God's law of relationships: "How do you know, wife, whether you will save your husband? Or, how do you know, husband, whether you will save your wife?" 1 Corinthians 7:16: God uses women as He uses flies! The Roman soldiers could murder the women like the flies, when they went to them to take care of Jesus'es body.

I'm disabled. I can not go to theaters and bars. I'm counting on love only by correspondence (I need a pen pal). Can't it be?
Mom is also disabled and she is allergic to my potential girl-friends.

I understand a lot from the first two weeks of correspondence. I like it when a lady immediately shows kindness, not mockery. Why should I conquer someone, to win love and respect? If the lady passes the test of time, then I am ready to talk with my mother.

Who can tell where the road goes? "Enya - Only Time"

https://youtu.be/7wfYIMyS_dI

Respect is part of Love, one can not win Love, one can Loose Love to an unrepented sinner (because latter does not come from God of Existence, thus, does not exist). Love is in Origin of the Universe, and of your personal life: love is always there, right from the first sight.

"ALL ABOUT RESPECT! (song for kids about showing respect)"

<https://youtu.be/TmRjyQlWyQg>

Do you argue? If yes, then tell me story how in perfect world the perfect Jesus Christ was murdered by the officials?

There is one in the whole world. The kindest of all. She is different, she is special.